

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8-10, 14-17, 18, 22, and claims 25-28 are amended and claims 2 and 26 are canceled herein. With entry of this amendment, claims 1, 3-25, and 27-28 will be pending.

Claims 1, 3-25, and 27-28 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication No. US 2002/0034939 (Wenzel) in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,107,620 (Haverinen).

Wenzel discloses use of AAA protocols for authentication of physical devices in IP networks. As shown in Fig. 1, a mobile access terminal 104 is coupled to communicate with an access network controller (ANC) 108. ANC 108 is coupled to communicate with a NAS/PSDN 116 and an AN-AAA server 120 (referred to by the Examiner as the second AAA server). NAS/PSDN 116 is coupled to a data packet network 124 by way of a local server 122 (referred to the Examiner as the first AAA server). The AN-AAA server 120 authenticates the identity of the access terminal 104 and other devices that seek access to the data packet network 124 through the wireless data network 100. In rejecting the claims, the Examiner interprets the NAS/PSDN 116 and ANC 108 as a gateway.

Applicants' invention, as set forth in the claims is directed to a method for providing authentication and accounting in a virtual private network having a first AAA server. Authentication of a remote user is performed at the first AAA server without contacting a second AAA server, which is not located within the virtual private network and is associated with the virtual home gateway. Claims 1, 14, 18, and 25 have been amended to further specify that associating the remote user comprises receiving a virtual private network ID and address of the first AAA server.

In contrast to applicants' invention, Wenzel uses the second AAA server 120 to perform authentication (see, paragraphs [0031] - [0033], for example). ANC 108 does not communicate with NAS/PSDN until it has received instructions from AN-AAA server 120

(paragraph [0036]). Once a communication link is established between NAS/PSDN 116 and access terminal 104, based on authentication at the second AAA server 120, NAS/PSDN produces authorization information signals to local AAA server (first server) 122 (paragraph [0038]). Thus, while the NAS/PSDN 116 makes final determinations as to whether a connection may be established, AN-AAA server 120 performs the preliminary authentication and makes a determination that is relied upon by the NAS/PSDN in determining whether to establish a connection. Systems such as disclosed in Wenzel which require communication between AAA servers can pose a serious security risk.

Furthermore, Wenzel does not show or suggest receiving a request from a remote user for connection with a virtual private network at a virtual home gateway. Instead, Wenzel teaches communication with a data packet network using two AAA servers, neither server located within the data packet network.

Moreover, Wenzel does not disclose sending accounting information directly to first and second AAA servers, as set forth in claims 1, 14, and 25. In rejecting the claims, the Examiner refers to paragraphs 0040 and 0043 of Wenzel. These paragraphs describe how random numbers and access grant/deny signals are generated and transmitted. There is no discussion of transmitting accounting information.

As noted by the Examiner, Wenzel also does not disclose performing a lookup of a first AAA server address at a virtual home gateway. Since the AAA server 122 is local to the NAS/PDSN 116, there is no reason to perform a lookup to find the AAA server. In contrast to Wenzel, the first AAA server of applicants' invention is located within the virtual private network, thus the gateway has to perform a lookup to find the address of the AAA server associated with the virtual private network.

Haverinen et al. describe authentication in a packet data network. A mobile IP network MPI is connected to GSM_B by a GSM authentication gateway (GAGW). The GAGW couples together a server in the GSM_B and a server in the MIP network. The two AAA servers (HAAA and FAAA) are associated with one another and directly coupled

through the GAGW. Thus, there is no need to perform a lookup of an address of the associated AAA server.

Furthermore, the cited references do not show or suggest receiving a virtual private network ID and address of an AAA server of the virtual private network at a virtual home gateway. As previously discussed, the user in Wenzel is not attempting to contact a virtual private network. In rejecting the claims, the Examiner refers to paragraph [0038] of Wenzel. This section of the patent application describes how the NAS/PSDN 116 (referred to by the Examiner as the gateway) produces authorization information signals to a local AAA server 122. There is no teaching of receiving a virtual private network ID or address of a VPN AAA server at the gateway.

Accordingly, claims 1, 14, and 25 are submitted as patentable over Wenzel and Haverinen et al.

Claims 3-13, depending from claim 1, claims 15-24, depending from claim 14, and claims 27-28, are submitted as patentable for at least the same reasons as their base independent claims.

Regarding claims 4, 5, 22, 27, and 28, the cited references do not show or suggest sending a request to a service provider AAA server to authorize the remote user. In contrast, Wenzel uses a local AAA server (referred to by the Examiner as the first AAA server) to authorize a user.

Claim 6 is further submitted as patentable because the cited references do not show or suggest sending a request to authenticate a remote user comprising routing the request using a customer routing table of a virtual private network. In rejecting the claim, the Examiner refers to col. 12, lines 14-16 of Haverinen et al. This section of the patent describes communication between two AAA servers. As noted above, applicants' invention provides authentication without direct communication between two AAA servers. Furthermore, Haverinen et al. do not teach routing an authentication request using a customer routing table of a virtual private network.

With regard to claims 8, 9, 10, and 16, Wenzel does not discuss sending an accounting request to the AAA servers. As previously noted, the paragraphs referenced by the Examiner refer to generating authorization/deny signals.

The Examiner has not provided any support for rejection of claims 15-17.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants believe that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance and should be passed to issue. If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference would in any way expedite the prosecution of the application, please do not hesitate to call the undersigned at (408) 399-5608.

Respectfully submitted,



Cindy S. Kaplan
Reg. No. 40,043

P.O. Box 2448
Saratoga, CA 95070
Tel: 408-399-5608
Fax: 408-399-5609